



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,899	06/25/2001	Gert W. Bruning	US010297 (7790/45)	1204
24737	7590	02/10/2005	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			BORISSOV, IGOR N	
P.O. BOX 3001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			3629	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/888,899	BRUNING, GERT W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Igor Borissov	3629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 January 2005.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Amendment received on 8/26/2004 is acknowledged and entered. Claims 1-13 are currently pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lys et al. (US 6,211,626) (Lys) in view of Yablonowski et al. (US 6,535,859) (Yablonowski).

Lys teaches a method and system for current control of an LED lightning assembly, comprising:

Independent Claims

Claim 1.

installing a lighting system for a customer (C. 7, L. 1-4);
measuring the intensity of illumination generated by the lighting system (C. 46, L. 19-22; C. 9, L. 55-58);
wherein change in said illumination is achieved by controlling the amount of current supplied (consumed) to the lighting system (C. 6, L. 60-66), thereby indicating straight correlation between energy consumed and lumens produced.

Lys does not specifically teach determining a customer light usage fee based on the lumens.

Art Unit: 3629

Yablonowski teaches a method and system for monitoring lighting systems, comprising:

measuring power consumed by the installed system (C. 1, L. 64-65); determining customer usage fee based on said measurement (C. 1, L. 66 – C. 2, L. 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lys to include determining a customer light usage fee based on the power consumed, as disclosed in Yablonowski, because a business needs funds to operate.

Claim 5.

installing a lighting system for a customer (C. 7, L. 1-4);

measuring changes of light spectrum generated by the lighting system (C. 46, L. 19-22; C. 9, L. 55-58);

wherein change in said light spectrum is achieved by controlling the amount of current supplied (consumed) to the lighting system (C. 6, L. 60-66), thereby indicating straight correlation between energy consumed and changes in light spectrum produced.

Lys does not specifically teach determining a customer light usage fee based on the lumens.

Yablonowski teaches a method and system for monitoring lighting systems, comprising:

measuring power consumed by the installed system (C. 1, L. 64-65); determining customer usage fee based on said measurement (C. 1, L. 66 – C. 2, L. 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lys to include determining a customer light usage fee based on the power consumed, as disclosed in Yablonowski, because a business needs funds to operate.

Claimn 10. Lys teaches said system, comprising:

means for measuring the intensity of illumination generated by the lighting system (C. 46, L. 19-22; C. 9, L. 55-58);

Art Unit: 3629

wherein change in illumination is achieved by controlling the amount of current supplied (consumed) to the lighting system (C. 6, L. 60-66), thereby indicating straight correlation between energy consumed and lumens produced.

Lys does not specifically teach means for determining a customer light usage fee based on the lumens.

Yablonowski teaches said system for monitoring lighting systems, comprising: means for measuring power consumed by the installed system (C. 1, L. 64-65); and means for determining customer usage fee based on said measurement (C. 1, L. 66 – C. 2, L. 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lys to include means for determining a customer light usage fee based on the power consumed, as disclosed in Yablonowski, because a business needs funds to operate.

Dependent Claims

Claims 2 and 6. Said method wherein the lighting system includes at least one LED (Lys; C. 9, L. 45; and reasoning applied to claims 1 and 5).

Claims 3 and 7. Said method wherein said measuring is conducted by at least one photodiode (Lys; C. 46, L. 4; and reasoning applied to claims 1 and 5).

Claims 4, 8 and 11. Installing an input device to allow customer control of the lighting system (Lys; C. 13, L. 10).

Claim 9. Lys teaches:

measuring changes of light spectrum generated by the lighting system (C. 46, L. 19-22; C. 9, L. 55-58);

installing an input device to allow customer control of the lighting system (Lys; C. 13, L. 10);

wherein change in said light spectrum is achieved by controlling the amount of current supplied (consumed) to the lighting system (C. 6, L. 60-66), thereby indicating straight correlation between energy consumed and changes in light spectrum produced.

Lys does not specifically teach determining a customer light usage fee based on the lumens.

Yablonowski teaches said method, comprising: measuring power consumed by the installed system (C. 1, L. 64-65); determining customer usage fee based on said measurement (C. 1, L. 66 – C. 2, L. 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lys to include determining a customer light usage fee based on the power consumed, as disclosed in Yablonowski, because a business needs funds to operate.

Claim 12. Lys teaches:

means for measuring changes of light spectrum generated by the lighting system (C. 46, L. 19-22; C. 9, L. 55-58);

wherein change in said light spectrum is achieved by controlling the amount of current supplied (consumed) to the lighting system (C. 6, L. 60-66), thereby indicating straight correlation between energy consumed and changes in light spectrum produced.

Lys does not specifically teach means for determining a customer light usage fee based on the lumens.

Yablonowski teaches said system for monitoring lighting systems, comprising:
means for measuring power consumed by the installed system (C. 1, L. 64-65);
and means for determining customer usage fee based on said measurement (C. 1, L. 66 – C. 2, L. 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lys to include means for determining a customer light usage fee based on the power consumed, as disclosed in Yablonowski, because a business needs funds to operate.

Claim 13. Lys teaches:

means for selecting a preprogrammed pattern of light to be emitted from the lighting system (C. 13, L. 6-23);

means for measuring changes of light spectrum generated by the lighting system (C. 46, L. 19-22; C. 9, L. 55-58);

wherein change in said light spectrum is achieved by controlling the amount of current supplied (consumed) to the lighting system (C. 6, L. 60-66), thereby indicating straight correlation between energy consumed and changes in light spectrum produced.

Lys does not specifically teach means for determining a customer light usage fee based on the lumens.

Yablonowski teaches said system for monitoring lighting systems, comprising: means for measuring power consumed by the installed system (C. 1, L. 64-65); and means for determining customer usage fee based on said measurement (C. 1, L. 66 – C. 2, L. 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lys to include means for determining a customer light usage fee based on the power consumed, as disclosed in Yablonowski, because a business needs funds to operate.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure (see form PTO-892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at telephone number (703) 305-4649.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached at (703) 308-2702.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 3629

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 [Official communications; including After Final
communications labeled "Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal
Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

Igor Borissov
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3629



IB

2/07/2005